

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,919	11/03/2003	Stephen Bowling	3897 EXAMINER	
75	90 01/25/2006			
Stephen Bowling			MENDIRATTA, VISHU K	
c/o Valley of M 59 Broad Street			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Stamford, CT 06901			3711	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ϵ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,919	BOWLING, STEP	HEN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vishu K. Mendiratta	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comes BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Oc 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 21-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar on is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CF	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/699,919 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1,35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. The structure of the kit is unclear with respect to the structure of "a rule indicia", "instructive indicia". In the absence of a substrate for carrying "a rule indicia", "instructive indicia" the structure is intangible. Indicia are markings that need a substrate to go on.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The structure of the kit is unclear with respect to the structure of "a rule indicia". In the absence of a substrate for carrying "a rule indicia", the structure is intangible. Indicia are markings that need a substrate to go on.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/699,919 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 27-44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gohlke (5372366).

Claims 27-34,41-44: Gohlke teaches a plurality of billiard balls (billiard balls are fracture resistant as known) with rankings on them (28), a plurality of cards (indicators) with rankings (abstract lines 1-5), moving pieces (19) with flat bases and a random number generator (18), physically striking one spherical ball with another spherical ball as in pool game (6:7) and using dice for determining outcome (6:55-57). Limitations in claims 31-34 are inherent in playing pool game.

Claim 35: Limitation "instructional indicia" has no definite structure and has no patentable weight as apparatus.

Claims 36-40: Claim limitations are rules for playing or intended use of apparatus not furthering the apparatus in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 21-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gohlke (5372366) in view of Headford (5649874).

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 21-26: Gohlke teaches a plurality of billiard balls (billiard balls are fracture resistant as known) with rankings on them (28), a plurality of cards (indicators) with rankings (abstract lines 1-5), moving pieces (19) with flat bases and a random number generator (18). The cue ball is bigger than all other balls in a pool game.

Gohlke teaches all limitations except that it does not teach spheres with figures visible in them. Headford teaches providing balls with figures inside (Fig.2).

Providing spheres with figures within is a design/aesthetic choice. In order to make the game attractive to potential players, it would have been obvious to provide spheres with figures inside them. Further spheres used in a game where they constantly strike each other are likely to erode the outside surface and any information on them. In order to secure information, it would have been obvious to provide spheres with information inside.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K. Mendiratta whose telephone number is (571) 272-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

VKM January 10, 2006